Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/582,231	SHIOIRI ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANNA MOMPER	3657
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) ANNA MOMPER.	(3)	
(2) RYAN SMITH.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2010	Time: <u>1:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic  ∪ Video Conference  □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant  □ Applicant	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 2 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph		
Claims discussed: 1, 15		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed 112, 1st paragraph issue presented in the nonlinal of functions and support in the specification for that function.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly in the interview of	e examiner will provide a	written summary of the substance
did not recessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summai		
	Applicant/Applicant's B	sentative Signature – if appropriate)
	трупкаш /гррпсаш s Repre	эсимиче эндимине – и арргорпате)

Applicant(s)